

Evaluated Major Infrastructure Projects for the LRTP

Abbreviated Project Name	Project Cost	AADT	Safety	Cost per EPDO	EPDO per 100,000 vehicles	EPDO	Top 200 Crash Location (Total EPDO)				HSIP Cluster (Total EPDO)	Truck Polygon (Truck-involved EPDO)	HSIP Bicycle Cluster (Bike-involved EPDO)	HSIP Pedestrian Cluster (Ped-involved EPDO)	System Preservation	Improves substandard pavement	Improves substandard bridge	Improves sidewalk infrastructure	Improves bicycle facilities	Improves emergency response/Improves response to extreme conditions	Capacity Management/Mobility (Autos)	MPO-identified express highway bottleneck location	MPO-identified arterial bottleneck location	Capacity Management/Mobility (Buses)	Number of Bus Routes Served	Total Bus Trips Served Daily	Capacity Management/Mobility (Peds/Bikes)	Expands bicycle network	Bay State Greenway Priority 100	High Priority Gap (Network Evaluation Study)	Expands sidewalk network	Improves transit access	Economic Vitality	Provides vehicle access to target development area	Provides transit access to target development area	Provides bicycle access to target development area	Provides pedestrian access to target development area	Mostly serves existing area of concentrated development	Partly serves existing area of concentrated development	Facilitates new development	
							HSIP	Cluster	Total	EPDO																															
Allston Viaduct Realignment (Boston)	\$460,000,000	174,000	medium	\$1,133,005	236	406	Top 200 Crash Location (Total EPDO)	3	HSIP Cluster (Total EPDO)	HSIP	Truck Polygon (Truck-involved EPDO)	HSIP	Bicycle Cluster (Bike-involved EPDO)	HSIP	Pedestrian Cluster (Ped-involved EPDO)	System Preservation	Improves substandard pavement	Improves substandard bridge	Improves sidewalk infrastructure	Improves bicycle facilities	Improves emergency response/Improves response to extreme conditions	Capacity Management/Mobility (Autos)	MPO-identified express highway bottleneck location	MPO-identified arterial bottleneck location	Capacity Management/Mobility (Buses)	Number of Bus Routes Served	Total Bus Trips Served Daily	Capacity Management/Mobility (Peds/Bikes)	Expands bicycle network	Bay State Greenway Priority 100	High Priority Gap (Network Evaluation Study)	Expands sidewalk network	Improves transit access	Economic Vitality	Provides vehicle access to target development area	Provides transit access to target development area	Provides bicycle access to target development area	Provides pedestrian access to target development area	Mostly serves existing area of concentrated development	Partly serves existing area of concentrated development	Facilitates new development
Route 18 Widening (Weymouth)	\$58,822,115	29,600	high	\$43,252	4641	1360	6	10	4	high	8	1	8	X	medium	moderate	low	2	86	medium	6	8	high	high	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X				
Highland Ave (Newton)	\$14,297,606	35,000	high	\$24,233	1703	590	3	1		high	9	1	6	X	medium	moderate	low	3	104	high	8	8	high	6	8	X	high	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X			
Route 4/225 (Lexington)	\$23,221,000	40,200	high	\$44,400	1314	523	2			medium	8			X	medium	moderate	medium	8	897	high	6		X	high	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X					
Rutherford Ave (Boston)	\$109,967,000	48,200	medium	\$561,056	411	196	1	1		high	9	3	6	X	low	low	low	7	558	high	1.5		X	high	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X					
McGrath (Somerville)	\$56,563,000	38,000	medium	\$425,286	354	133	2		1	1	high	3	2	1.5				low	7					X	high	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X				
Extend I-93 HOV Lane (Somerville to Woburn)	\$550,000,000	202,000	low	\$239,234	1150	2299	17	7		high	6			X	high	severe	high	8	492	low				medium	X									X	X	X					
I-93/I-95 (Woburn)	\$294,000,000	373,000	high	\$207,774	383	1415	7	5		medium	1			X	high	severe	medium	3	92	low				medium	X									X	X	X					
Route 27/9 (Natick)	\$25,793,370	80,000	high	\$55,709	585	463	1	2		high	1	1	1	X	medium	medium	medium	4		medium	1		X	low	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X					
New Boston St (Woburn)	\$9,706,549	14,000	low	\$9,706,549	7	1				low				X	high		low	0		high	0.5		0.5	X	high	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X				
Route 1 Widening (Malden, Revere, Saugus)	\$236,078,161	115,000	medium	\$666,887	311	354	5	2		low	1			X	high	severe	high	6	250	low				medium	X									X	X	X					
Braintree Split	\$53,288,794	282,000	high	\$32,612.48	585	1634	4	1		medium	3			X	high	severe	medium	5	283	low				low										X	X	X					
Montvale Ave (Woburn)	\$4,225,256	31,000	high	\$15,534	886	272	1	1		high	1	0.5		X	medium		low	1	38	medium	0.5			X	low									X	X	X					
Southampton Interchange (Boston)	\$143,750,000	225,000	medium	\$123,709	522	1162	1			medium	1			X	medium	severe	medium	8	705	medium				medium	X									X	X	X					
I-93/I-95 (Canton)	\$186,700,000	240,000	medium	\$470,277	167	397	4	2		medium	2			X	medium		low	0		medium	X		X	X	high	X									X	X	X				
Route 128 Add-a-Lane (Needham, Wellesley)	\$150,000,000	188,000	medium	\$208,333	387	720	4	1		high	4			X	high	severe	low	1	50	low				low										X	X	X					
Concord Rotary	\$104,000,000	48,000	medium	\$594,286	368	175	2	1		high	6	1		X	high	severe	severe	low	0	low				low										X	X	X					
Dedham St Ramp (Canton, Norwood, Westwood)	\$50,961,567	5,000	low	\$1,456,045	707	35				low	1			X	high		low	0		medium	1		1	X	high	X								X	X	X					
Route 3 Widening	\$800,000,000	159,000	high	\$365,297	1391	2190	15	3		high	9			medium	moderate		low	5	361	low				low										X	X	X					
I-90/I-495 (Hopkinton)	\$220,000,000	209,000	medium	\$660,661	161	333	3	4		high	4			medium			low	0		low				medium	X									X	X	X					
Middlesex, Phase III (Bedford, Burlington)	\$26,935,171	14,300	medium	\$402,017	473	67				high	3	1			low		low	3	8	medium	3	3	X	medium	X									X	X	X					
Route 126/135 (Framingham)	\$115,000,000	35,400	medium	\$542,453	605	212	1	1	1	1	low	0.5	0.5	X	low		medium	7		medium	0.5	X	X	high	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X	X						
I-95 Add-a-Lane (Woburn)	\$32,900,000	164,000	medium	\$109,667	185	300	2	1		medium	2			X	medium	severe	low	2	75	low				medium	X									X	X	X					
Mahoney Circle (Revere)	\$60,000,000	56,000	low	\$588,235	184	102	2	1		low	1			X	medium																										

Evaluated Major Infrastructure Projects for the LRTP

Abbreviated Project Name	Project Cost	AADT	Safety	Cost per EPDO	EPDO per 100,000,000 vehicles	EPDO	Top 200 Crash Location (Total EPDO)		HSIP Cluster (Total EPDO)		Truck Polygon (Truck-involved EPDO)		HSIP Bicycle Cluster (Bike-involved EPDO)		HSIP Pedestrian Cluster (Ped-involved EPDO)		System Preservation		
							2	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	
I-290/495 (Hudson, Marlborough)	\$100,000,000	162,500	medium	\$334,448	186	299	Top 200 Crash Location (Total EPDO)		HSIP Cluster (Total EPDO)		Truck Polygon (Truck-involved EPDO)		HSIP Bicycle Cluster (Bike-involved EPDO)		HSIP Pedestrian Cluster (Ped-involved EPDO)		System Preservation		
Route 1A/16 (Revere)	N/A	36,700	low	N/A	39	14	1	3	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	1	2	Improves substandard pavement	
Brimbal Ave, Phase II (Beverly)	\$23,000,000	73,500	low	\$383,333	82	60	1		medium	1.5	1	X	low	low	severe	low	1	Improves substandard bridge	
I-90/Interchange 17 (Newton)	\$4,000,000	141,000	medium	\$8,677	330	461	4	1	1	1	low	3	0.5	X	medium	low	1	Improves sidewalk infrastructure	
128 Capacity Improvements (Peabody)	\$24,634,000	110,000	low	\$98,536	230	250	2		low	1	X	high	severe	severe		low	1	Improves bicycle facilities	
Riverside Ramp (Newton)	N/A	23,500	low	N/A	4	1	2		low			low	moderate			low	12	Improves emergency response/improves response to extreme conditions	
Washington Street Bridge (Woburn)	\$12,200,000	38,800	low	\$98,387	323	124	1		low	0.1	X	low				low	0	Capacity Management/Mobility (Autos)	
																low	0	MPO-identified express highway bottleneck location	
																low	0	MPO-identified arterial bottleneck location	
																low	0	Capacity Management/Mobility (Buses)	
																0	Number of Bus Routes Served		
																0	0	Total Bus Trips Served Daily	
																0.1	0.1	Capacity Management/Mobility (Peds/Bikes)	
																			Expands bicycle network
																			Bay State Greenway Priority 100
																			High Priority Gap (Network Evaluation Study)
																			Expands sidewalk network
																			Improves transit access
																			Economic Vitality
																			Provides vehicle access to target development area
																			Provides transit access to target development area
																			Provides bicycle access to target development area
																			Provides pedestrian access to target development area
																			Mostly serves existing area of concentrated development
																			Partly serves existing area of concentrated development
																			Facilitates new development